REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to reconsider the present application in view of the following remarks.

Status of the Claims

Claims 1-6 are currently pending in the present application. The Office Action is Final.

No new amendments to the claims are proposed, thus no new matter has been added.

Based upon the above considerations, entry of the present Response is respectfully requested.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 1-6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0166282, hereinafter "Brown et al.") further in view of Burgess et al., "Syntheses of Nucleoside Triphosphates," <u>Chemical Reviews</u>, 100:2047-2059 (2000) (hereinafter "Burgess et al.").

The Examiner suggests that Brown et al. teaches the feasibility and availability of making and synthesizing RNA containing 4'-thioribonucleoside triphosphate. Further, the Examiner asserts that Brown et al. teaches the advantage and benefit of 4'-thioribonucleoside triphosphates such that they increase inhibitory activity of siRNA molecules.

The Examiner also suggests that contrary to Applicants' assertions, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been sufficiently motivated to try different synthesis methods of Burgess et al. and ultimately arrive at the claimed invention without undue experimentation, because

Burgess et al. expressly taught, "Chemists wishing to prepare nucleoside triphosphates with a minimum amount of effort should refer to the procedures listed above...Improved solid-phase syntheses amenable to automation or genuinely combinatorial approaches would be particularly timely." See page 2058. (emphasis added)

Additionally, the Examiner suggests that since the methods of synthesizing and incorporating 4'-thioribonucleoside-triphosphates as well as the benefits conferred by the 4'-thioribonucleoside-triphosphates were known in the art at the time of the invention as taught by Brown et al., and since a finite number of available methods of synthesizing nucleoside triphosphates for different nucleobase derivatives were known in the art at the time of the invention as taught by Burgess et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to arrive at the claimed invention with a reasonable expectation of success. Further, the Examiner asserts that since Burgess et al. taught that any chemist could amend or combine the different approaches of preparing nucleoside triphosphates with a minimum amount of effort, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in making the claimed invention at the time the invention was made. Applicants respectfully traverse.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested based on the following considerations.

The Examiner admits that Applicants are correct that Brown et al. does not teach 4'thioribonucleoside or 4'-thio-2'-deoxyribonucleoside, wherein the ribonucleoside is adenine,
guanine, cytosine, or hypoxanthine as in the instant case.

The modified nucleotide disclosed in Brown et al. at [0050] is 4-thio-UTP. According to the generally accepted chemical nomenclature, this abbreviation means that the sulfur atom is

Docket No.: 3691-0115PUS1

present on the 4-position on the <u>base</u>, instead of the <u>sugar</u>. It is clearly not a typographic error for "4'-thio", because 2-thio-CTP, 2'NH₂-UTP, 2'NH₂-CTP and 2'F-UTP are also disclosed in the same paragraph. Thus, Brown et al. does not disclose a 4'-thionucleoside. In addition, Brown et al. discusses only <u>phosphodiester and base</u> modifications, but does not mention or suggest modifications on the sugar.

With regard to the Examiner's comments regarding Burgess et al.:

"Chemists wishing to prepare nucleoside triphosphates with a <u>minimum amount of effort</u> should refer to the procedures listed above...Improved solid-phase syntheses <u>amenable to automation</u> or genuinely <u>combinatorial approaches</u> would be <u>particularly timely."</u>

Applicants respectfully believe that the Examiner takes the comments out of context.

The paragraphs actually read:

No method for preparing nucleoside triphosphates is suitable for all nucleobase derivatives. This is unfortunate because in this evolving age of genomic drug discovery, the need for nucleoside triphosphates will increase. Current applications for these materials include DNA sequencing, therapeutic nucleoside inhibitors of polymerases, and in vitro transcription procedures to prepare aptamers; this list is likely to expand.

Landmarks in the development of contemporary syntheses of nucleoside triphosphates include: Yoshikawa's POC13 phosphorylation procedure to give nucleoside monophosphates (section 3.1); Ludwig's "one-pot, three-step" triphosphorylation procedure (Scheme 2); various protocols for activating monophosphates then reacting them with pyrophosphate, e.g., Bogachev's procedure (Scheme 15); and the Ludwig-Eckstein procedure involving an activated phosphite (section 6, Scheme 18).

Chemists <u>wishing to prepare</u> nucleoside triphosphates with a minimum amount of effort <u>should</u> refer to the procedures listed above.

Some research innovations could greatly facilitate syntheses of nucleoside triphosphates. Improved solid-phase syntheses amenable to

Docket No.: 3691-0115PUS1

automation or genuinely combinatorial approaches would be particularly timely. Direct, one-step triphosphorylation procedures, for instance, wherein a triphosphate entity is added as a nucleophile, would also be valuable. Perhaps a rate-limiting feature of development of new methods is availability of protected and/ or stabilized triphosphate and diphosphate building blocks. We feel that Todd's early work along these lines has not been paid sufficient attention. Finally, enzymatic procedures have proved to be excellent for biochemical purposes and for some syntheses of purified nucleoside triphosphates. This is another area of potential development.

In summary, methods for syntheses of nucleoside triphosphates evolved rapidly in the 1950s, but efforts in this area have tapered off, and for the past decade they have languished. A revival of interest in preparations of these important molecules would be appropriate.

This commentary does not describe any particular synthesis improvement or innovation, but is merely a wish list of future enhancements to the technology that the authors <u>would like</u> to see since current methodologies are limited in practical application.

As discussed in the October 9, 2007 response, Burgess et al. describes that nucleoside triphosphates are difficult to make, isolate characterize and store (See Burgess et al., page 2048, section 2 - General Practical Considerations). Also, Burgess et al. indicates that despite the popularity of nucleoside triphosphate syntheses that involve reaction with POCl₃, then with pyrophosphate, the method is not perfect and is not successful for all nucleoside derivatives (Id. at pg. 2050, column 2, fourth paragraph). Analysis of the reactions also indicates that reaction times are variable and at certain steps the reactions are not perfectly selective to particular intermediates (Id.). Additionally, the reactions indicated within Burgess et al., do not involve thio-nucleosides which further complicates whether the reactions are applicable to thio-nucleosides.

Application No. 10/524,817 After Final Office Action of November 28, 2007

Combined with the full commentary described above in context, one skilled in the art

would not be motivated by the combination of Brown et al. and Burgess et al. to make the 4'-

thionucleoside of the present invention.

Any cited reference used for a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) must be considered in

its entirety, i.e., as a whole, including those portions that would lead away from a claimed

invention. See W.L. Gore & Associates, Inc. v. Garlock, Inc., 220 U.S.P.Q. 303 (Fed. Cir. 1983),

cert. denied, 469 U.S. 851 (1984). In other words, the Burgess et al. reference must be read in its

entirety, including the teaching away that "no method for preparing nucleoside triphosphates is

suitable for all nucleobase derivatives," the complexities described in the synthesis reactions, and

no showing of a thio base nucleoside reaction within Burgess et al.

As such, there would be no reasonable expectation for success to do that which

Applicants have done based on the cited references.

Accordingly, with regard to the 4'-thio nucleoside of the present invention, one skilled in

the art would not have arrived to the present invention with the combination of Brown $et\ al.$ and

Burgess et al.

Even if one was motivated to modify the prior art (a point not conceded), the evidence

discussed above clearly establishes that there are not a "limited number of predictable solutions"

as required by KSR International Co. v Teleflex Inc., 82 USPO2d 1385, 1395 (U.S. 2007).

6

GMM/PDP/kam

Docket No.: 3691-0115PUS1

Art Unit: 1635

Application No. 10/524,817

After Final Office Action of November 28, 2007

Docket No.: 3691-0115PUS1

Art Unit: 1635

CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendment, Applicants believe the pending application is in

condition for allowance.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Paul D. Pyla, Reg. No. 59, 228, at

the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite

prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies

to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional

fees required under 37.C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.14; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: FEB 2 8 2008 Respectfully submitted,

Gerald M. Murphy, Jr.

Registration No.: 28,977
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicants

GMM/PDP/k

7